BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 1 SECRETARIAT 2 3 In the Matter of CASE CLOSURES UNDER 2003 JAN 27 A 10: 09) 4 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM** 5 6 7 SENSITIVE 8 **GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT** I. **INTRODUCTION** The cases listed below have been evaluated under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS") and identified as either low priority or potential ADR transfers. This report recommends that the Commission no longer pursue the cases cited in section II 14 15 16 II. **CASES RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSURE** 17 Cases Not Warranting Further Action Relative to Other Cases **Pending Before the Commission** 18 19 EPS was created to identify pending cases that, due to the length of their pendency in 20 21 inactive status or the lower priority of the issues raised in the matters relative to others 22 presently pending before the Commission, do not warrant further expenditures of resources. Central Enforcement Docket ("CED") evaluates each incoming matter using Commission-23

approved criteria that result in a numerical rating for each case.

-04 -40¢

24

Case Closures Under EPS General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

l		We have identified	cases	which this Office recommends be	
2					closed.2
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
				·	
9					
10					٠.
11					
12			•		
13	IV.	RECOMMENDATIO	<u>ONS</u>		·
14		OGC recommends that	the Commissi	ion exercise its prosecutorial o	liscretion and close
15	the cases listed below effective two weeks from the date the Commission votes on the				

² The cases recommended for closure are: MUR 5255 (Roy Brown for Congress); MUR 5256R (Allied Pilots Association PAC); MUR 5271 (A Whole Lot of People for Grijalva Congressional Committee); MUR 5280 (Bundguard for Congress); MUR 5284 (Moran for Congress); MUR 5289 (Friends of the Rouge & Friends of the Detroit River); and MUR 5301 (Charlotte Reeves for US Congress).

5 6

7

12 13

20

- 1 recommendations. Closing these cases as of this date will allow CED and the Legal Review
- 2 Team the necessary time to prepare closing letters and case files for the public record.
- Take no action, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission
- 4 vote, and approve the appropriate letters in:
 - 1. MUR 5255
- 2. MUR 5256R
- 3. MUR 5271

- 4. MUR 5280
- 5. MUR 5284
- 6. MUR 5289

7. MUR 5301

1/24/03 Date Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

BY:

Rhonda J. Vosdingl

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney, CED

.

Complainant: Kenneth F. Boehm

Respondents: MBNA Corporation

Honorable James P. Moran, Jr. Moran for Congress and H. Robert Morrison, Treasurer

Allegations: Complainant, Kenneth Boehm, Chair of the National Legal and Policy Center, alleges that Representative James Moran received, in exchange for providing legislative support that was critical to the financial interests of MBNA, a \$447,500 home refinancing loan in January 1998 from MBNA, which was not based on MBNA's customary practices. Specifically, the reasons cited in the complaint included: the interest rate was below that provided to other borrowers, Representative Moran had insufficient collateral to secure the loan, his financial position did not justify the loan (his debt total was close to \$700,000), and MBNA inflated the appraisal. According to the complainant, Representative Moran was a candidate for federal office during the time of the loan application and, therefore, the loan provided by MBNA constituted a prohibited contribution.

Responses: MBNA responded that the mortgage loan process was initiated as a result of routine MBNA credit card and consumer loan collection activity via a telephone call between MBNA and a Moran representative. The reasons cited for the loan to Representative Moran included: to consolidate all of Representative Moran's debts (including the outstanding unsecured credit card and consumer loan debt to MBNA), to secure moneys owed to MBNA, and to reduce the Morans' monthly debt payments by using interest rates on mortgages, which were lower than credit card interest rates. There were two mortgage loans, one for \$357,500 and the other for \$90,000. Both loans carried a 10.5% interest rate and were subject to written promissory notes. The conforming interest rate at that time was 7%. Representative Moran paid a higher rate of interest because of his credit history. The Morans' residential property and adjacent vacant lot were secured by mortgages. An independent appraiser issued an appraisal on these properties to MBNA on November 28, 1997, for \$335,000 (residential property) and \$268,000 at a quick-sale value. The lot appraised for \$125,000. MBNA took security in the Morans' properties, and went from an unsecured creditor to a secured creditor. None of the funds from the mortgages were paid directly to the Morans. Moreover, the loans were not made in connection with Representative Moran's congressional campaign. The loans were made in accordance with all applicable laws.

The Honorable James P. Moran, Jr.; Moran for Congress and its treasurer, H. Robert Morrison; responded that none of the proceeds from the Morans? 1998 home mortgage

7.500 E

.....

l	were used in connection with Representative Moran's congressional campaign or for any
2	political purpose. Additionally, Moran for Congress did not receive any funds from
3	Representative Moran during the 1998 or 2000 election cycles.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	This matter is less significant relative to other matters pending before the Commission.
9	
	•